



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/241,857	02/02/1999	VLADIMIR POGREBINSKY	P-2163-US	9235
27130	7590 09/10/2003			
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP			EXAMINER	
	CKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 1001 YORK, NY 10020		VANDERPUYE, KENNETH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	/ 1
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ON

		-				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/241,857	POGREBINSKY, VLADIMIR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth N Vanderpuye	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 8-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 8-35</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37 and 38</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	oted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	···					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
LS Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khansari et al.(6,141,448) in view of Wildfeuer(6,298,055)

With regards to claim 36, Khansari teaches a method comprising the steps of:
monitoring said network available bandwidth and detecting said available bandwidth(col.

2 lines 12-15, examples of network status are congestion and available bandwidth); establishing
a bit rate fro transmission in accordance with the detected available bandwith(col. 2 lines 7-12,
coders adjust rate based on network status). Khanssari fails to teach packaging media
frames(payload) and protocol parameters(control data in header) in accordance with the bit rate.
Wildfeuer teaches an encoder and a packetizer for paketizing voice samples. It would have been
obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the packetizer inWildfeuer with with the
real-adaptive encoders in khansari for the purpose of implienting rate adpative encoding. The
motivation being the efficient use of bandwidth.

Art Unit: 2661

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-35 are allowed.

4. Claims 37-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Vanderpuye whose telephone number is (703) 308-7828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms, can be reached on (703) -305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kenneth Vanderpuye

September 8, 2003